

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,396	11/26/2003		Ping-Wha Lin	121048-0006	8874
35684	7590	. 09/19/2007		EXAMINER	
BUTZEL L					
350 SOUTH MAIN STREET SUITE 300				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ANN ARBO	R, MI 4	8104			

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) Application No. 10/723,396 LIN, PING-WHA Examiner Rick Palabrica 3663

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>07 June 2007</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1.	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🛛	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗍	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🔲	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	See Continuation Sheet.
	·

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Item 4: a) The "cold reservoir" is not properly "mapped" to the specification and drawings. The brief cites element 314 in Fig. 3 as the "cold resorvoir" whereas the specification and the figure itself refers to said element as a "heat resevoir." Note that page 4, lines 17+ of the brief refers to element 319 in Figs. 7 and 8 as the so-called cold reservoir.

- b) The "connecting pipe connected between the heat reservoir and the cold reservoir" is also not properly mapped for the same reason as in 4.a) above.
- c) The "means for flowing stream of gas containing water through the reactor from the upstream side to the down stream side" is not properly mapped to the specification and drawings. The cited page 50 of the specification does not describe any structural element that performs the function performed by said means.

Item 10: The examiner notes that an objection to the specification is a matter that is petitionable rather than appealable .

RICARDO J. PALABRICA PRIMARY EXAMINER